

Review Result (attendance of editor only)

Paper number (please insert six-figure submission number; example: *mateconf180166*)

mateconf

Name of the reviewer:

Scientific contribution and comprehensibility

(ratings are: *exceptional, significant, fair, questionable, none*)

New theory:

New results/application:

Tutorial:

Clarity of presentation:

Please overall rate the paper (ratings are: *excellent, acceptable, poor, not acceptable*)

If exceptionally excellent: The paper may be considered for a Prize in category

Forming technology

Materials and characterization

Modeling

Layout and delineation of the paper

Organization of the manuscript is appropriate:

Figures, tables and supplementary data are appropriate:

SI units are used:

Formulae are correct:

Yes	No
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Recommendation for the editor

There are additional information for the author(s) in an extra file (*If so, tick here*)

Confidential comments to the editor:

Please consider:

Before you accept or decline an invitation to review consider the following questions:

Does the article match my area of expertise? Do you have a potential conflict of interest?

Disclose this to the editor when you respond.

Respond to the invitation as soon as you can – delay in your decision slows down the review process.

Before you start

You must treat the materials you receive as confidential documents.

Review

Your review report

Your review will help the editor decide whether or not to accept the article. Giving your overall opinion and general observations of the article is essential. Your comments should be courteous and constructive, and should not include any personal remarks or personal details including your name. If you suspect plagiarism, fraud or have other ethical concerns, raise your suspicions with the editor, providing as much detail as possible.

Checklist

- Summarize the article in a short paragraph. This shows the editor you have read and understood the research.
- Does the article match the main scope FORMING of the ICNFT 2018?
- Give your main impressions of the article, including whether it is novel and interesting, whether it has a sufficient impact and adds to the knowledge base.
- Give specific comments and suggestions concerning scientific quality, including about layout and format, title, abstract, introduction, graphical abstracts and/or highlights, method, statistical errors, results, conclusion/discussion, language and references.
- Important: Rate the article in the attached Review Result and give a recommendation for decision!

The final decision

The editor of the conference ultimately decides whether to accept or reject the article.